

Community Liaison Group Minutes – Meeting #4

29 October 2019

6.00-7.00pm

Enfield Community House

Meeting Room 1, 311 Fore Street, London, N9 0PZ

Attendees

Dr Linda Miller – Enfield Town Residents' Association
Cllr John Bevan – Northumberland Park ward councillor (Haringey)
Cllr Sinan Boztas – Lower Edmonton ward councillor (Enfield)
Quentin Given – Northumberland Park ward representative
David Cullen – NLWA
Martin Capstick – NLWA
Trudi Axtens – NLWA
James Sachon – AECOM

Apologies

Darren Knight – Eley Industrial Estate
Helen Price – Enfield Voluntary Action
Claire Martin – Lee Valley Regional Park Authority

1. Introductions

1.1 Attendees introduced themselves.

2. Matters arising and review of previous meeting minutes

2.1 The actions from the previous meeting were discussed. No comments or questions were raised.

3. Safety moment

3.1. TA introduced the safety moment which focused on improvements made for cyclists outside the construction site, following observations from the project team.

3.2. A member asked if there are banksman at the site entrance. NLWA confirmed there are. A follow up question asked if the quality of the tow path surface could be improved. NLWA confirmed they would investigate this.

4. Update from CLG members

4.1. It was confirmed that the Project had been asked to attend the next Enfield Society meeting which will take place on Tuesday 25 February.

4.2. It was confirmed that the Project attend the Edmonton Green, Upper Edmonton and Lower Edmonton Area Ward Forum and answered local questions and gave more information about the Project.

5. Construction updates

5.1. The Project took the group through the presentation, updating members on EcoPark South works, Laydown Area East, Laydown Area West and Eastern Access, Deephams Farm Road, Sewer Diversion Works and Transport Plan.

5.2. A member asked if there is a target for local labour working on the project. NLWA confirmed that there isn't a specific target (apart from those for apprentices) but the Project is going to work with local authorities to consider setting a deliverable target.

5.3. A member of the group advised that the project should look at other recent local planning applications (such as the Tottenham Hotspurs Football Club Stadium application) for details of targets set for other projects. NLWA said the Project will investigate this. NLWA went on to say the procurement strategy had been set up to encourage local companies. This is predominantly by having smaller contracts which are more accessible to local businesses.

5.4. A question was asked if each contractor will have to employ a certain number of local apprentices. NLWA confirmed each contractor will have a minimum number specified in their contract.

5.5. A query was raised about the total length and cost of the project. NLWA outlined the duration of the project, detailing the different stages of development and demolition. In terms of costs NLWA confirm that at the time of the meeting the initial assessment of capital costs for individual facilities, mainly focused on the Energy Recovery Facility, was £650m which was based on costs in 2015. If inflation and overall project costs are applied, it was confirmed this will be around £1bn. NLWA said the costs are reviewed on a regular basis and updates will be issued via the website and to the wider media. *This has since been updated here: <http://www.northlondonheatandpower.london/faqs/about-the-project/what-are-the-nlhpp-programme-costs/>*

5.6. A member of the CLG asked if there will be any dangerous chemical or contamination as a result of the demolition. NLWA responded by saying that as with all projects of this type they will only know the exact conditions when demolition begins. However, the project will remove and manage in the appropriate way any environmental hazards that are found during demolition.

6. Working with the community

6.1. NLWA provided updates on recent Wise Up to Waste campaigns, the Project's community roadshows, the Project's community newsletters, recent relevant research, the new Project website and local work around jobs and skills.

6.2. A member of the group asked if the roadshow could take place in other locations further afield such as Enfield town centre, Palmers Green, Edmonton Sainsburys and local leisure centre. NLWA committed to looking at these potential venues.

6.3. A question asked about how the newsletter was delivered and if it was guaranteed to be delivered to each house. NLWA confirmed that the delivery company check the reliability of the team via spot checks. A member of the group confirmed a more reliable

service is provided by Royal Mail. NLWA confirmed this service will be considered for future newsletters and is already in place for other distributions made by the Authority.

- 6.4.** A query was raised about whether it is possible for the newsletter to be sent to every councillor in the seven boroughs. NLWA confirmed that this will be done for future newsletters.
- 6.5.** Following slides that presented recent research on the impact of the development, a question was asked about whether the Project had presented to Stop the Edmonton Incinerator Group or Extinction Rebellion. NLWA confirmed that a meeting with the group leaders of Stop the Edmonton Incinerator had been offered but not accepted.
- 6.6.** A member of the group asked if the Ramboll carbon study had made assumptions on decarbonisation of electricity production when carrying out research. NLWA confirmed that a certain level had been assumed in the research.
- 6.7.** A member of the CLG commented that the meeting had been very helpful and informative. NLWA said that the feedback and questions provided by the group is also incredibly helpful.
- 6.8.** Members were thanked for their attendance and it was confirmed the next meeting would take place in early 2020.